

# Impact of ENSO on U.S. Tornado and Hail frequencies

### **Summary**

Annual aggregated risk to the U.S. property industry from severe convective storm (SCS) is as high as the risk from hurricanes, based on 2003-2015 Verisk Analytics<sup>®</sup> Property Claim Services<sup>®</sup> (PCS) loss statistics. Average annual loss (in 2016 USD) from severe convective storms is \$11.23 billion compared to \$11.28 billion from hurricanes. If we consider loss history from the last decade only, severe convective storm is the largest annual aggregated risk peril to the insurance industry. The latest science indicates that U.S. SCS frequency is relatively higher following La Niña and lower following El Niño, and storm location is affected as well.

This briefing is brought to you in collaboration with Columbia University, a Willis Research Network (WRN) partner, to enhance our understanding on the two key issues pertaining to severe convective storm risk:

- 1. Impact of El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) on U.S. severe convective storm frequency
- 2. Forecast of severe convective storms

SCS Hurricane SCS-AAL - - Hurricane-AAL - - Hurricane-AAL - - Hurricane-AAL - - Hurricane-AAL - - Hurricane-AAL

Source: Property Claim Services (PCS), a Verisk Analytics business

In addition, towards the end of this briefing,

we discuss our thoughts on how the latest research and our ongoing collaborative work with Columbia University can help insurers better manage the risk of severe convective storm.

#### **El Niño-Southern Oscillation**

El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is an ocean-atmosphere interaction in the tropical Pacific that influences weather and climate patterns around the world. El Niño events are characterized by warmer than usual sea surface temperature (SST) in the eastern and central tropical Pacific, and La Niña events are characterized by cooler than usual SST in the eastern and central tropical Pacific. Roughly half of all years are classified as ENSO ones (either El Niño or La Niña) based on the Oceanic Niño Index (ONI), with the other half being considered neutral. ENSO events tend to last less than a year, peaking in winter and dissipating in spring, though multi-year events sometimes occur. Oceanic Niño Index (ONI) represents a three-month running mean of sea surface temperature departures from normal for a critical region of the equatorial Pacific (Niño 3.4 region; 5°N-5°S, 120°-170°W). The phase of ENSO can be skillfully predicted several months in advance, and the skill of ENSO predictions varies according to the season.

© Copyright 2017 Willis Limited / Willis Re Inc. All rights reserved: No part of this publication may be reproduced, disseminated, distributed, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted or otherwise transferred in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the permission of Willis Limited / Willis Re Inc. Some information contained in this document may be compiled from third party sources and we do not guarantee and are not responsible for the accuracy of such. This document is for general information only and is not intended to be relied upon. Any action based on or in connection with anything contained herein should be taken only after obtaining specific advice from independent professional advisors of your choice. The views expressed in this document are not necessarily those of Willis Limited / Willis Re Inc., its parent companies, sister companies, subsidiaries or affiliates, Willis Towers Watson PLC and all member companies thereof (hereinafter "Willis Towers Watson"). Willis Towers Watson is not responsible for the accuracy or completeness of the contents herein and expressly disclaims any responsibility or liability for the cancelus or for any of the contents herein to any analysis or other matter, or for any results or conclusions based upon, arising from or in connection with the contents herein, nor do the contents herein guarantee, and should not be construed to guarantee, any particular result or outcome. Willis Towers Watson accepts no responsibility for the content or quality of any third party websites to which we refer.

The impacts of ENSO are strongest in the tropics, but even in the mid-latitudes ENSO is the most important driver of climate variability on seasonal and inter-annual timescales, other than the seasonal cycle itself. ENSO impacts U.S. climate and is the main source of predictability and skill for seasonal forecasts of temperature and precipitation, especially during the cool season (fall and winter). El Niño is associated with reduced Atlantic hurricane activity, and La Niña with enhanced activity.

## **ENSO and SCS activity**

U.S. severe convective storm activity, as measured by the numbers of tornado and hail reports, was relatively low in 2015 and 2016 compared to previous years. From a climate perspective, 2015-2016 was marked by the strongest El Niño event since the 1997-1998 El Niño, with El Niño warming that began early in 2015, grew during 2015 and persisted into the spring of 2016 (L'Heureux et al., 2017). Was reduced U.S. SCS activity in 2015-2016 related to the El Niño conditions?

Scientists and forecasters have long debated the impact of ENSO on SCS activity in the U.S., with the first solid findings being that there tend to be more cool-season tornadoes during La Niña conditions (Cook & Schaefer, 2008). The ENSOdriven modulations of the atmospheric circulation (in particular, jet stream changes) that shift temperature and precipitation patterns over the U.S. are also expected to impact SCS activity. El Niño tends to shift the jet stream further south over the U.S., which blocks moisture from the Gulf of Mexico. The effects of La Niña include a more variable and northward shifted jet stream, which might be expected to enhance SCS activity in the south and southeast. In fact, active SCS seasons and historic tornado outbreaks in 1974, 2008 and 2011 followed La Niña conditions during the previous winter. Showing the statistical significance of the impact of ENSO on SCS frequencies using the historical events is challenging due to the large variability of SCS activity and the relatively small number of ENSO events in the recent history for which reliable tornado and hail data are available.

Recent consideration of tornado and hail reports along with associated largescale meteorological factors has provided a consistent picture of the impact of ENSO on springtime tornado and hail frequencies (Allen et al., 2015b). The persistence of the ENSO state from winter to early spring provides a basis for predicting March-April-May (MAM) SCS activity based on the December-January-February (DJF) ENSO state. The graphical exhibit shows the overall level of U.S. SCS activity during spring (MAM) as measured by the blended average of tornado and hail reports and related environment factors conditional on the value of the ONI during the previous







winter (DJF). Although the relation is statically significant, there is substantial variability in addition to that explained by ENSO. Average values of overall U.S. SCS activity during spring (MAM) as measured by the tornado and hail reports and related environment factors for various ranges of the ONI during the preceding winter (DJF) are also shown. To put things in the perspective for 2017, the ONI value for DJF 2017 (preliminary) was -0.41, within the ENSO neutral category.

The following maps show spatial distribution of the ENSO-related shifts in SCS activity. In much of the U.S., La Niña conditions in winter (DJF) are associated with springtime (MAM) increases in tornado and hail reports as well as increases in the environmental factors associated with SCS activity, with the largest signal present in the south and southeast (including parts of Texas, Oklahoma, Kanas, Louisiana, Arkansas, and Missouri), except in Florida where the opposite relation is observed. Here positive values (red color) indicate increased activity, and negative values (blue color) indicate decreased activity compared to the long-term average (1979-2015).



Source: Dept. of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University

Spatial impact of El Niño (left) and La Niña (right) conditions on subsequent MAM tornado and hail. Units are number of tornadoes (top row) and number of hail events (bottom row; events as defined in Allen et al, 2015) per 1x1 degree box.

We see two potential opportunities for taking advantage of the connection of ENSO with SCS activity. First, based on the state of ENSO in the preceding winter, we can identify regions in advance where SCS activity in spring may be enhanced or reduced. Second, "what if" scenarios and historical losses can be stratified according to ENSO phase to provide views of risk that account for the ENSO signal.

## Monthly forecasts of SCS activity

An alternative approach to predicting SCS activity uses a comprehensive physics-based model like those used to make weather forecasts. The model is initialized with estimates of the current state of the atmosphere and ocean and then numerically integrated forward in time to produce long-range (currently up to a month) forecasts of the meteorological factors associated with SCS activity (Tippett et al., 2012). This approach explicitly represents weather patterns, as well as climate signals such as ENSO, the Madden Julian Oscillation (MJO) and climate change. Physics-based forecasts of meteorological indices (functions of winds, temperature and moisture) that are associated with tornado and hail occurrence are currently being produced each month.

The maps below illustrate forecasts for March 2017 of a tornado environment index (TEI) and a hail environment index (HEI). The March 2017 forecast indicates high TEI values centered on Louisiana, Mississippi and Arkansas. The forecast environment differs noticeably from the 1990-2015 historical distribution of reports for the month of March shown in the bottom row.



Source: Dept. of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University

Forecasts (top row) of the March 2017 tornado environment index (TEI) and hail environment index (HEI) made at the beginning of March 2017, compared with 1990-2015 tornado and hail reports for the month of March (bottom row).

#### Managing severe convective storm risk

The view of risk contained in Risk Management Solutions' SCS model, 60% of the annual aggregated loss from SCS is due to hail, 20% due to tornado and 20% due to straight-line wind. However, 45% of the 1:100 year industry loss is due to tornado and 35% is contributed by hail. On an aggregated annual basis the less intense, more frequent tornadoes and hailstorms cause significant loss to property insurance portfolios. Typically, about half of the annual severe storm risk occurs during spring (March-April-May) and 25% to 30% of the risk occurs during the summer (June-July-Aug) months.

Regional variability in increased or reduced severe convective storm frequency due to the ENSO phase can have a significant impact on regional and single state writers. Given the promising results from the research done by Columbia University, we are currently working to develop a climate conditioned severe convective storm event set for portfolio loss calculations. We hope the climate conditioned view of risk can help us understand, manage and mitigate the regional and year over year variability in severe convective storm risk to property insurance portfolios. In addition, the monthly forecast of tornado and hail activity can help companies identify a month in advance what exposure concentrations may be at increased risk to tornado and hail storms compared to historical average activity.

For more information on how Willis Re can help you manage your risk associated with severe convective storm, please contact your Client Advocate or Prasad Gunturi.

#### References

Allen, J. T., M. K. Tippett, and A. H. Sobel, 2015a: Associating monthly hail occurrence and large scale environment for the Continental United States. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 7, 226-243, doi: 10.1002/2014MS000397.

Allen, J. T., M. K. Tippett, and A. H. Sobel, 2015b: Influence of the El Niño/Southern Oscillation on tornado and hail frequency in the United States. *Nat. Geosci.*, **8**, 278–283, doi:10.1038/ngeo2385.

Cook, A. R., and J. Schaefer, 2008: The relation of El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) to winter tornado outbreaks. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **136**, 3121–3137, doi:10.1175/2007MWR2171.1.

L'Heureux, M. L., and Coauthors, 2017: Observing and Predicting the 2015-16 El Niño. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0009.1.

Tippett, M. K., A. H. Sobel, and S. J. Camargo, 2012: Association of U.S. tornado occurrence with monthly environmental parameters. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L02801, doi: <u>10.1029/2011GL050368</u>.

Risk Management Solutions Inc. (RMS), June 25, 2014, Modeling Severe Storm Risk: A Closer Look (link)

Willis Re, Catastrophe Management Services Report, June 2014: Managing Severe Thunderstorm Risk- Exposure Data Details for Underwriting and Catastrophe Risk Management (Web Link)

Willis Re, Catastrophe Management Services Report, June 2013: May 2013 Tornadoes in Texas and Oklahoma- Damage Survey Report (link)

#### **Contact us**

Prasad Gunturi, EVP Willis Re Inc. 7760 France Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55435 D +1 952 841 6638 Michael Tippett Dept. of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics Columbia University New York, NY 10027 D +1 212 851 5936

E prasad.gunturi@WillisTowersWatson.com

E mkt14@columbia.edu

The contents herein are provided for informational purposes only and do not constitute and should not be construed as professional advice. Any and all examples used herein are for illustrative purposes only, are purely hypothetical in nature, and offered merely to describe concepts or ideas. They are not offered as solutions to produce specific results and are not to be relied upon. The reader is cautioned to consult independent professional advisors of his/her choice and formulate independent conclusions and opinions regarding the subject matter discussed herein. Willis Towers Watson is not responsible for the accuracy or completeness of the contents herein and expressly disclaims any responsibility or liability for the reader's application of any of the contents herein to any analysis or other matter, nor do the contents herein guarantee, and should not be construed to guarantee, any particular result or outcome.